13th November 1922]

(iii) whether the grade and the pay of the superintendents of the two schools are the same (including allowances, if any) and if different, what the reasons are for the same;

(iv) whether it is a fact that there was a fall in admission in the school at Vizagapatam this year, and if so, whether there is any necessity for continuing a costly superintendent there; and

(v) whether these schools cannot be closed and the students in them be sent to Guindy?

	Third year.	Second year.	First year.
A.—(i) Trichinopoly	21	38	20
Vizagapatam	27	28	7

(ii) Yes.

(iii) No; the Superintendent of the Vizagapatam school gets his pay in the Public Works Department plus a duty allowance of Rs. 100 per mensem. The Superintendent of the Trichinopoly school gets the sanctioned pay of the appointment, viz., Rs. 500 per mensem, which is greater than his pay in the Public Works Department.

(iv) Yes; for the present a superintendent is necessary.

(v) The matter is under consideration.

Reductions in the Co-operative department.

443 *Q.*—Mr. M. R. Seturatnam Ayyar: Will the hon. the Minister for Development be pleased to state—

(1) whether any reductions have been made in the cost of the establishments in the Co-operative department this year;

(2) whether any old officers have been replaced by younger ones, as assistant registrars, etc., as intimated by the Government during the last budget sessions of this Council; and

(3) if not, whether there are any proposals now by the Government to introduce the abovementioned policy in the near future?

A.—(1) Yes; the duty allowance of the Assistant Registrars in the mufassal has been abolished.

(2) One of the deputy collectors has been transferred from the Co-operative department in the month of August and his place has not yet been filled up.

(3) Yes.

Levies made under Kudimaramat Act.

444 *Q.*—Mr. T. Sivasankaram Pillai: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(1) in how many villages of the Anantapur district levies were made under 'Kudimaramat Act' and what the amount collected is from each and for what purpose and in each of the three faslis ending with 1331;

(2) whether a sum of about Rs. 700 was levied and collected from the villagers of Kuruvollapalli, hamlet of Rampuram, Penukonda taluk; and

(3) what the circumstances justifying the levy of the sum are, for what purposes was the sum levied, and whether the purpose for which it was levied has been accomplished, and, if so, to what extent; and, if not, why not?

A.—The Government have no information but will call for it.